



CETRA²⁰¹²

2nd International Conference on Road and Rail Infrastructure
7–9 May 2012, Dubrovnik, Croatia

Road and Rail Infrastructure II

Stjepan Lakušić – EDITOR



Organizer
University of Zagreb
Faculty of Civil Engineering
Department of Transportation



CETRA²⁰¹²

2nd International Conference on Road and Rail Infrastructure

7–9 May 2012, Dubrovnik, Croatia

TITLE

Road and Rail Infrastructure II, Proceedings of the Conference CETRA 2012

EDITED BY

Stjepan Lakušić

ISBN

978-953-6272-50-1

PUBLISHED BY

Department of Transportation

Faculty of Civil Engineering

University of Zagreb

Kačićeva 26, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia

DESIGN, LAYOUT & COVER PAGE

minimum d.o.o.

Katarina Zlatec · Matej Korlaet

COPIES

600

A CIP catalogue record for this e–book is available from the National and University Library in Zagreb under 805372

Although all care was taken to ensure the integrity and quality of the publication and the information herein, no responsibility is assumed by the publisher, the editor and authors for any damages to property or persons as a result of operation or use of this publication or use the information's, instructions or ideas contained in the material herein.

The papers published in the Proceedings express the opinion of the authors, who also are responsible for their content. Reproduction or transmission of full papers is allowed only with written permission of the Publisher. Short parts may be reproduced only with proper quotation of the source.

Proceedings of the
2nd International Conference on Road and Rail Infrastructures – CETRA 2012
7–9 May 2012, Dubrovnik, Croatia

Road and Rail Infrastructure II

EDITOR

Stjepan Lakušić

Department of Transportation

Faculty of Civil Engineering

University of Zagreb

Zagreb, Croatia

CETRA²⁰¹²

2nd International Conference on Road and Rail Infrastructure

7–9 May 2012, Dubrovnik, Croatia

ORGANISATION

CHAIRMEN

Prof. Željko Korlaet, University of Zagreb, Faculty of Civil Engineering

Prof. Stjepan Lakušić, University of Zagreb, Faculty of Civil Engineering

ORGANIZING COMMITTEE

Prof. Stjepan Lakušić

Prof. Željko Korlaet

Prof. Vesna Dragčević

Prof. Tatjana Rukavina

Maja Ahac

Ivo Haladin

Saša Ahac

Ivica Stančerić

Josipa Domitrović

All members of CETRA 2012 Conference Organizing Committee are professors and assistants of the Department of Transportation, Faculty of Civil Engineering at University of Zagreb.

INTERNATIONAL ACADEMIC SCIENTIFIC COMMITTEE

Prof. Ronald Blab, Vienna University of Technology, Austria

Prof. Vesna Dragčević, University of Zagreb, Croatia

Prof. Nenad Gucunski, Rutgers University, USA

Prof. Željko Korlaet, University of Zagreb, Croatia

Prof. Zoran Krakutovski, University Sts. Cyril and Methodius, Rep. of Macedonia

Prof. Stjepan Lakušić, University of Zagreb, Croatia

Prof. Dirk Lauwers, Ghent University, Belgium

Prof. Giovanni Longo, University of Trieste, Italy

Prof. Janusz Madejski, Silesian University of Technology, Poland

Prof. Jan Mandula, Technical University of Kosice, Slovakia

Prof. Nencho Nenov, University of Transport in Sofia, Bulgaria

Prof. Athanassios Nikolaidis, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece

Prof. Otto Plašek, Brno University of Technology, Czech Republic

Prof. Christos Pyrgidis, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece

Prof. Carmen Racanel, Technical University of Bucharest, Romania

Prof. Stefano Ricci, University of Rome, Italy

Prof. Tatjana Rukavina, University of Zagreb, Croatia

Prof. Mirjana Tomičić–Torlaković, University of Belgrade, Serbia

Prof. Brigita Salaiova, Technical University of Kosice, Slovakia

Prof. Peter Veit, Graz University of Technology, Austria

Prof. Marijan Žura, University of Ljubljana, Slovenia



SPEED AS AN ELEMENT FOR DESIGNING ROUNDABOUTS

Hrvoje Pilko¹, Davor Brčić¹, Nikola Šubić²

1 University of Zagreb Faculty of Transport and Traffic Sciences, Croatia

2 HOK Insurance d.d. Zagreb, Croatia

Abstract

The increasing construction and implementation of roundabouts in the last 20 years is a result of a need for capacity increment, as well as the safety level increment on road intersections at-grade. Designing and shaping roundabouts, especially in urban areas, represents a complicated problem with a number of different conditioned elements that need to be satisfied. Geometrical elements such as the dimension of the outer roundabout diameter and number and width of the lanes considerably affect the trajectory of the vehicle's path through the intersection, respectively the vehicle speed that has an immediate effect on the safety and the capacity of the roundabout. Through a depiction of four existing roundabouts in the City of Zagreb, this paper will analyze the speed as an important roundabout designing factor. The research results will provide guidelines for roundabout designers, considering that the design speed is in correlation with the measured actual vehicle speed on a roundabout.

Keywords: roundabouts in urban areas, modelling and designing, vehicle movement trajectory vehicle speed

1 Introduction

Modelling and designing roundabouts with small diameters ($D_v \leq 35\text{m}$) in urban areas, presents a complicated task where a series of conditioned elements must be satisfied. Geometrical elements such as the inscribed circle radius and the number and width of the approaching lanes considerably affect the shape of vehicle movement trajectory through the intersection, i.e. the speed of the vehicles that has direct impact on the roundabout safety and capacity. A well-designed roundabout reduces the relative speeds between conflicting traffic streams by requiring vehicles to negotiate the roundabout along a curved path. Therefore, the ability to predict the vehicular speeds through the roundabout in the preliminary design is an important element while designing and modelling roundabouts. This paper will show an analysis of four roundabouts in the City of Zagreb, as well as the predicted speed on the roundabout entrance, circulatory roadway and exit in relation with the actual measured speeds of the analyzed intersections.

2 The speed on the vehicle path through roundabout

2.1 Design speed

Achieving the adequate speed throughout the roundabout results in accident possibility decrement, and also in intersection capacity increment. With the increment of the trajectory curve, the speed between the vehicles entering the circulatory roadway decreases as well as the speed of the vehicles already in the roundabout. Thus, the number of traffic accidents that happen while entering or exiting the circulatory roadway considerably decrease. However, on

roundabouts with multilane roundabouts (on circular roadways and approach legs) increasing vehicle path curvature creates greater side friction between adjacent traffic. This could result in traffic accident increment caused by the interlacing of vehicles or their overrunning the roadway [6]. Therefore, with the goal of decreasing traffic accidents for every roundabout type an optimum design speed is suggested (Figure 1) [6].




Figure 1 Depiction of the design speed values for a single-lane roundabout [6]

Table 1 shows maximum recommended values of the design speed for a vehicle entering a roundabout.

Table 1 Maximum recommended design speed for a vehicle entering a roundabout [2, 6]

Roundabout type	Maximum recommended design speed at the roundabout entrance [km/h]
Mini roundabout (RKT _m)	25 [km/h]
Small, single-lane ⁽¹⁾ roundabout (RKT _w)	35 [km/h]
Small, double-lane ⁽²⁾ roundabout (RKT _M)	40 [km/h]
Medium, single-lane (RKTSV)	40 [km/h]
Medium, double-lane (RKTSV.2)	50 [km/h]



Calculating the design speed is based on the radii of the vehicle path as shown:

$$V = \sqrt{127R(e + f)} \quad (1)$$

where: V = design speed [km/h], R = radius [m], e = superelevation rate [m/m], f = side friction factor [6].

Adherence between the pneumatic and the roadway is important for the stability and the safety of the vehicle movement through the roundabout, i.e. for the safer negotiation of the vehicle path. Superelevation values are usually assumed to be +0.02 for entry and exit curves and -0.02 for curves around the central island. Values of the side friction factor depend on the vehicle speed, the roadway type and the condition of the roadway (Figure 2.).

The design speed shouldn't differ considerably from the actual roundabout speed, and should be in correlation with other design parameters, respectively with the presumed traffic environment [4, 6].



a) vehicle speed and diameter relation b) side friction factor and vehicle speed relation

Figure 2 Relation depiction; a) diameter of the vehicle path and vehicle speed b) vehicle speed and side friction factor [6]

2.2 Vehicle path through the roundabout

For determining the speed on the roundabout, it is necessary to determine the fastest vehicle path allowed by the geometry (the trajectory that allows the maximum vehicle speed through the roundabout). While determining the vehicle path it is assumed that there is no other traffic or marked traffic lanes. Therefore, the vehicle can move freely through the approach leg, the approach entrance, around the central island, and towards the exit. It can be noticed that every vehicle path is characterized by three radii: the entry path radius, circulating path radius and the exit path radius. It is assumed that the vehicle is 2 m wide, and that it will maintain a minimum clearance of 0.5 m from a roadway centerline or concrete curb and the drawn edge of the splitter island. Therefore, the centerline of the vehicle path is 1.5 m away from a roadway centerline, 1.5 m away from the concrete curb and 1.0 m away from the drawn line of the splitter island (Figure 3.) [4, 6].




Figure 3 Layout of the fastest vehicle path through a roundabout [6].

The fastest vehicle path for the drive through manoeuvre is a series of reverse curves (to the trajectory on the right a trajectory on the left continues, and then a right trajectory again takes place). In cases with no central island the vehicle path will be straight. Therefore, the radius of reverse curve depends on the smallest radius that usually appears while the vehicle turns around the central island. For all the approaches it is necessary to sketch the fastest vehicle paths, which can be done by using the AutoCAD tool [1, 4, 5, 6].

2.3 Vehicle path radii on roundabouts

With the goal of achieving an adequate design speed for the fastest vehicle path it is necessary to check the consistency/permanence for all movements. Speed consistency results in a higher level of traffic safety by decreasing the speed difference among conflicting traffic flows. Also, it simplifies the task of merging into the conflicting traffic stream, minimizing critical gaps, thus optimizing entry capacity. Therefore, for each approach it is necessary to check five critical radii: R_1 – entry path radius; R_2 – circulating path radius; R_3 – exit path radius; R_4 – left-turn path radius; R_5 – right-turn path radius (Figure 4.). It is necessary to note that the values of these radii are not equal to the presumed curb radii [4, 6].




Figure 4 Vehicle path radii [6]

It is desirable that on the fastest vehicle path, R_1 is smaller than R_2 , which on the other hand needs to be smaller than R_3 . This ensures that speeds will be reduced to their lowest level at the roundabout entry and will thereby reduce the likelihood of loss-of-control crashes. In cases where the $R_1 < R_2$ condition is not possible to satisfy, then it is necessary that R_1 is greater than R_2 provided the relative difference in speeds is less than 20 km/h. At mini and small roundabouts with higher intensity of pedestrian traffic, and with the goal of maximizing exit speeds, it is desirable that the exiting radii are equal or inconsiderably greater than R_2 . By checking the values of the radius R_4 the condition that maximum speed difference between the entrance flow and the circulatory roadway flow is smaller than 20km/h is assured. The design speed for the R_5 radius should be the same as the maximum design speed of the whole roundabout and not higher than 20km/h from the design speed of the R_4 radius, which has a conflict point with the R_2 [4, 6].

3 Analysis of the research results

The analysis of the speed on the vehicle movement trajectory in the conditions of a normal flow has been conducted on four single-lane roundabouts with four single-lane approaches, situated in central and periphery part of Zagreb. Design parameters of the observed roundabouts are shown in Table 2. Because of the design characteristics of the chosen roundabouts and analyzed traffic flow movements, speed on the vehicle path through a roundabout from every leg approach has been analyzed. The vehicle speed at the entrance (V_1), in the roundabout (V_2) and at the roundabout exit (V_3) was measured, as well as the corresponding radii (R_1 ,

R_2 and R_3). Speed on right turns (V_4) and left turns (V_5) through the roundabout, respectively the radii (R_4 and R_5) because of previously mentioned reasons are not the research topic. Measurements of the approaching vehicle speed were done in cooperation with The Ministry of the Interior on the 07.07.2008., Tuesday, in morning peak-hours, in intervals of 5, 10 and 15 minutes. Meteorological conditions were appropriate, it was mostly sunny with slight clouds which allowed good visibility on all intersections, and the roadway was dry. In accordance with the specifics of analyzed intersections, and needed information on the traffic flow speed and technical characteristics of the instrument a MULTANOVA 6F instrument was chosen and used. During measurements a police automobile without police markings was used along with an officer in a civil uniform, in order to reduce the possibility of spotting the police, which could affect the driver reactions [1, 5]. Measurements of the approaching speed, the speed in the circulatory roadway, and the speed at the roundabout exit were done on the 15.09.2011., Thursday, in the morning peak-hours, in intervals of 15 minutes with a GPS installed in a personal vehicle. Also, meteorological conditions were appropriate, sunny weather enabled good visibility on all intersections, and the roadway was dry.

Table 2 Design elements of chosen roundabouts [1, 5]

Red. br.	Oznaka	Naziv raskrižja/prometnice	Kružni kolnik				Privozi			Napomena
			D_v [m]	D_u [m]	tk [m]	q [±%]	n [-]	b_p [m]	uvoz/izvoz [m]	
01.	a) m -	Mini RKT ($D_v \leq 26$ m)	20,0	6,0	7,0	-1,5	3	7,1	3,5/3,6	1/1
	RKTm	Sveti Duh - Kunišćak								
	b) M -	Mala RKT (22 m $\leq D_v \leq 35$ m)								
02.	RKTM	Petrova - Jordanovac	25,0	12,0	6,5	-1,5	4	8,0	3,5/4,5	1/1
03.	RKTM	Vočarska - Bijenička	22,0	13,0	4,5	-3,0	4	8,0	4,0/4,0	1/1
04.	RKTM	Radnička cesta - Petruševac 1.	40,0	28,0	6,0	-0,5	4	6,5	3,0/3,5	1/1

Depiction: D_v – outer roundabout diameter [m], D_u – inner roundabout diameter [m], tk – circular roadway width [m], q – superelevation rate on circular roadway gradient [±%], b_p – approach leg width [m].

Table 3 shows data acquired with speed measurements for vehicle movement trajectories through the roundabout. The design speed of the roundabout was calculated in accordance with the formula (1) with the help of measured radii in the layouts [4, 5, 6], while on the specimen of 50 measurements the average measured vehicle speed was depicted, as well as the deviating values.

Table 3 Design speed and average measured vehicle speed on chosen intersections

Naziv raskrižja / Oznaka privoza	Poluprijer trajektorija			Projektna brzina			Broj mjerenja N	Izmjerena prosječna brzina			Odstupanje od projektne brzine		
	R_1 [m]	R_2 [m]	R_3 [m]	V_1 [km/h]	V_2 [km/h]	V_3 [km/h]		V_1 [km/h]	V_2 [km/h]	V_3 [km/h]	V_1 [%]	V_2 [%]	V_3 [%]
Sveti Duh - Kunišćak													
Privoz 1-3	10	20	28	19,02	26,91	31,83	50	17,52	24,04	29,34	-7,91	-10,65	-7,84
Privoz 3-1	10	20	28	19,02	26,91	31,83	50	16,20	24,60	26,90	-14,85	-8,57	-15,50
Petrova - Jordanovac													
Privoz 1-3	10	20	29	19,02	26,91	32,40	50	16,96	23,40	28,02	-10,85	-13,03	-13,51
Privoz 3-1	10	20	29	19,02	26,91	32,40	50	16,52	23,31	25,56	-13,37	-13,36	-21,11
Privoz 4-2	12	20	25	20,84	26,91	30,08	50	20,42	25,34	28,78	-2,02	-5,82	-4,33
Privoz 2-4	15	20	25	23,30	26,91	30,08	50	24,34	26,56	29,78	+4,46	-1,26	-1,00
Vočarska - Bijenička													
Privoz 1-3	15	20	25	22,68	26,19	29,28	50	20,36	24,90	30,24	-10,23	-4,92	+3,28
Privoz 3-1	15	20	25	22,68	26,19	29,28	50	21,15	24,34	28,76	-8,74	-7,06	-1,77
Privoz 4-2	10	20	28	18,52	26,19	30,99	50	21,66	24,48	30,40	+16,97	-6,52	-1,89
Privoz 2-4	10	20	28	18,52	26,19	30,99	50	21,38	25,96	29,78	+15,46	-0,87	-3,89
Radnička cesta - Petruševac 1.													
Privoz 1-3	15	25	30	23,71	30,60	33,53	50	20,86	27,22	34,64	-12,01	-11,06	+3,32
Privoz 3-1	15	25	30	23,71	30,60	33,53	50	19,76	26,30	32,40	-16,65	-14,06	-3,36
Privoz 4-2	15	25	25	23,71	30,60	30,60	50	22,74	29,74	34,34	-4,07	-2,82	+12,21
Privoz 2-4	15	25	25	23,71	30,60	30,60	50	23,12	28,38	32,88	-2,47	-7,27	+7,44

For comparison of acquired results, speed on the vehicle path through the roundabout is shown in the following graphs.

Odnos projektne i izmjerene brzine kružnog raskrižja Sv. Duh - Kuniščak




Figure 5 The relationship of the design speed and the measured speed in the roundabout Sv. Duh–Kuniščak

Odnos projektne i izmjerene brzine kružnog raskrižja Petrova - Jordanovac




Figure 6 The relationship of the design speed and the measured speed in the roundabout Petrova–Jordanovac

Odnos projektne i izmjerene brzine kružnog raskrižja Voćarska - Bijenička




Figure 7 The relationship of the design speed and the measured speed in the roundabout Voćarska–Bijenička




Figure 8 The relationship of the design speed and the measured speed in the roundabout Radnička–Petruševac

On the Figures 5, 6, 7 and 8 the relationship between the measured vehicle speeds is shown, respectively from every approach. The diagrams show that the conditions $R_1, R_2 < R_3$ have been satisfied while designing the roundabout. Respectively, the lowest measured speed is the one on the vehicle path around the central island, while the highest speeds are measured at the roundabout exit.

Research results also show that average values of measured speed at the entrance are smaller than 35 km/h, and are in accordance with the recommendations from Table 2. However, on certain intersections deviations of measured individual speeds from the design speed were noted (Table 3.). On the Sv. Duh–Kuniščak intersection the average measured speed from the approach leg 3 to the approach leg 1 was 15.50% smaller than the design speed. On the Petrova–Jordanovac intersection the average measured speed from the approach 3 to the approach 1 had a 21.11% smaller value than the design speed, while the actual speed from the approach 2 to the approach 4 was 4.46% higher than the design speed. On the Voćarska–Bijenička intersection the measured speed from the approach 1 to the approach 3 was lower than the design speed for 10.23%, while the same speed was 16.97% higher than the design speed for the movement from the approach 4 to the approach leg 2. On the Radnička–Petruševac intersection the average measured speed from the approach 3 to the approach 1 was 16.65% smaller than the design speed, while for the movement from the approach 4 to the approach 2 the speed difference was 12,21% (actual speed was higher than the design speed). These deviations are a result of specific spatial locations of mentioned roundabouts, their design elements and characteristics of traffic flow during the measurements.

4 Conclusion

Designing and dimensioning of roundabouts with small diameters in urban areas ($D_v \leq 35$ m) presents a complex problem where it is necessary to determine a series of elements out of which the size of the inner and outer diameter of the roundabout, the number and width of approaching legs are of most importance. The mentioned elements considerably affect the vehicle path through the roundabout, i.e. the speed of the vehicles that has direct impact on the roundabout safety and capacity [1, 4, 5, 6].

The research on the vehicle path speed in normal conditions was conducted on four single-lane roundabouts with four single-lane approaches in the City of Zagreb. The research results showed that the basic design condition $R_1, R_2 < R_3$ was satisfied. Looking at traffic intersections, deviations between the design and actual speed are spanning from -21.11% to +16.69%, and are the result of the location and function of the intersection in the road network, design elements and characteristics of traffic flow as well as driver conduct during the measurements.

It should be pointed out, that in the Republic of Croatia there is no existing legislative regulative for roundabout design. In the existing guidelines 'Smjernice za projektiranje raskrižja u naseljima sa stajališta sigurnosti prometa' [7] conditions/rules for determining the design speed are not defined. Therefore, guidelines 'Roundabouts; An Informational Guide, 2000, Federal Highway Administration'[6] can serve the designers while designing the roundabout speed, which the conducted research confirms.

The conducted research on the vehicle path speed should serve as a basis for future thorough and systematic research of the causality of speed and vehicle path on roundabouts. The research should comprise a larger number of roundabouts with a bigger number of test samples, and the speed for left and right turns through the roundabout. Furthermore, it would be necessary to bring into connection the effect of the design speed with the level of safety on the existing roundabouts, analyzing traffic accident by types and samples.

References

- [1] Korekcija oblikovnosti i sigurnosti u raskrižjima s kružnim tokom prometa (voditelj prof. dr. sc. Ivan Legac), Fakultet prometnih znanosti, Sveučilište u Zagrebu, Zagreb, 2008-2011.
- [2] Legac, I., i dr.: Gradske prometnice, Fakultet prometnih znanosti, Sveučilište u Zagrebu, Zagreb, 2011.
- [3] Legac, I., Pilko, H., Šubić, N.: Introduction of Roundabouts in Croatia – preliminary experiences, Proceedings on 16th IRF World Congress, Lisabon, Portugal, 25 – 28 svibnja 2010.
- [4] Mehmood, A: Geometric design of single-lane roundabouts for optimum consistency and operation, Ryerson University Toronto, Ontario, Canada, SAD, 2003.
- [5] Prometna analiza i unapređenje sigurnosti i protočnosti raskrižja s kružnim tokom prometa (studija), Fakultet prometnih znanosti, Sveučilište u Zagrebu, Zagreb, listopad 2009.
- [6] Roundabouts: An Informational Guide, Publication No. FHWA-RD-00-067, Kittelson & Associates, Inc., Portland, Oregon, SAD, lipanj 2000.
- [7] Smjernice za projektiranje raskrižja u naseljima sa stajališta sigurnosti prometa (prijedlog), Fakultet prometnih znanosti Sveučilišta u Zagrebu, Zagreb, siječanj 2004.